



July 19, 2010

Duke Energy
Miami Fort Generating Station
11021 Brower Road
North Bend, OH 45052

Attention: Ms. Sue Wallace
Chemical Engineer

Re: Results – **July 2010**
Low-Level Mercury Sampling
Miami Fort Generating Station
North Bend, Ohio

In accordance with your request, URS prepared the following letter report transmitting low-level mercury test results for samples collected at the Miami Fort Generating Station located in North Bend, Ohio.

The scope of work involved the sampling of intake and discharge waters from the following sources and analysis of those samples for low-level mercury.

1. River Intake
2. Station 601 (WWT Influent)
[Samples were collected at this station one detention time before samples collected at Outfall 608]
3. Outfall 608 (WWT Effluent)
[Samples were collected at this outfall one detention time after samples collected at station 601]
4. Outfall 002 (Pond B Discharge)

Each sample was collected following the required Method 1669: *Sampling Ambient Water for Determination of Trace Metals at EPA Water Quality Criteria Levels* (Sampling Method) and analyzed by Method 1631. At the request of Duke Energy, total metal mercury samples were collected from Station 601 and analyzed by Method 7470A.

Field staff from URS' Cincinnati office conducted the sampling and TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. located in North Canton, Ohio performed the analytical procedures. The analytical procedures included the analyses of a collected sample and duplicate sample (duplicates collected at Outfall 608 and Outfall 002), field blank (field blanks collected at the River Intake, Outfall 608, and Outfall 002), and trip blank.

The results from the **July 1 and 2, 2010** sampling event are presented in the attached Table 1. A copy of the laboratory report is enclosed with this letter.



Duke Energy - MFS
July 19, 2010
Page 2

--ooOoo--

URS is pleased to provide continued assistance to Duke Energy in the execution of their environmental monitoring requirements. If there are any questions regarding the content of this report, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

URS Corporation

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Michael A. Wagner", is positioned above the printed name.

Michael A. Wagner
Project Manager

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Dennis P. Cennair", is positioned above the printed name.

Dennis P. Cennair, C.P.G.
Principal

MAW/DPC/Duke Energy-MFS LL Hg 2010
Job No. 14948701

TABLE 1
ANALYTICAL RESULTS
LOW-LEVEL MERCURY
RIVER INTAKE, STATION 601, OUTFALL 608, AND OUTFALL 002 (POND B)
DUKE ENERGY - MIAMI FORT STATION
NORTH BEND, OHIO

Sample ID	Date Sampled / Results (ng/L, parts per trillion)						
	7/1/09	8/3/09	9/1/09	9/21/09	10/1/09	11/2/09	12/1/09
River Intake	2.3	8.6 B	2.0	NSC	2.3	4.0	1.2
Station 601 (7)	224,000	226,000	NSC	62,400	186,000	NSC	51,400
Station 601 (7)*	NSC	4,600*	58,200*	8,900*	374,000*	NSC	41,300*
Station 601 (7)* [duplicate]	NSC	NSC	NSC	NSC	381,000*	NSC	42,500*
Station 601 (8)	260,000	956,000	NSC	73,000	237,000	576,000	48,600
Station 601 (8)*	NSC	4,800*	172,000*	314,000*	447,000*	124,000*	40,900*
Station 601 (8)*[duplicate]	NSC	NSC	NSC	41,600*	NSC	111,000*	NSC
Outfall 608	110	123 B	63.4	57.7	79.2	183	46.5
Outfall 608 [duplicate]	108	122 B	62.2	58.2	87.1	342	47.0
APB-002	NC	5.8	2.5	NSC	3.6	4.8	6.2
APB-002 [duplicate]	NC	5.3	2.4	NSC	3.8	4.5	5.6
Field Blank (RI-FB)	<0.50	2.8	<0.50	NSC	<0.50	<0.50	0.5
Field Blank (WWT-FB)	<0.50	1.0	0.72	<0.50	0.89	0.62	<0.50
Field Blank (AP-FB)	NC	<0.50	<0.50	NSC	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
Trip Blank	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50

Samples collected by URS

Samples analyzed by TestAmerica of North Canton, Ohio

NC - Not Collected. (Ash Pond B Outfall 002 collected quarterly, August and December)

NSC - No Sample Collected [11/2/09 Unit 7 outage]

* = Total mercury analysis utilizing Method 7470A [results converted from ug/L (parts per billion) to ng/L]

B = Low-level mercury detected in associated field blank collected at sampling location

TABLE 1 (continued)

Sample ID	Date Sampled / Results (ng/L, parts per trillion)						
	1/4/10	2/1/10	3/1/10	4/1/10	5/3/10	6/1/10	7/1/10
River Intake	3.9	14.4	2.8	5.6	7.7	2.1	3.4
Station 601 (7)	NSC	350,000	NSC	290,000	416,000	NSC	172,000
Station 601 (7)*	NSC	233,000*	NSC	342,000*	408,000*	NSC	6,700
Station 601 (7)* [duplicate]	NSC	NSC	NSC	354,000*	NSC	NSC	4,900
Station 601 (8)	470,000	416,000	291,000	75,200	220,000	113,000	NSC
Station 601 (8)*	8,100*	418,000*	921,000*	405,000*	325,000*	9,800*	NSC
Station 601 (8)*[duplicate]	3,100*	371,000*	688,000*	NSC	328,000*	40,000*	NSC
Outfall 608	53.0	301	286	71.2	292	119	95.5
Outfall 608 [duplicate]	41.5	302	282	74.8	278	85.8	96.5
APB-002	4.3	3.8	4.3	5.8	2.4	1.6	2.4
APB-002 [duplicate]	6.0	4.1	3.4	5.6	2.3	0.99	2.2
Field Blank (RI-FB)	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.4	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
Field Blank (WWT-FB)	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
Field Blank (AP-FB)	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
Trip Blank	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50

Samples collected by URS

Samples analyzed by TestAmerica of North Canton, Ohio

NSC - No Sample Collected [1/4/10 no flow from Unit 7] [3/1/10 no flow from Unit 7] [6/1/10 no flow from Unit 7]

* = Total mercury analysis utilizing Method 7470A [results converted from ug/L (parts per billion) to ng/L]

ANALYTICAL REPORT

PROJECT NO. 14948701

MIAMI FORT LL HG 2010

Lot #: A0G030460

Sue Wallace

Duke Energy Corporation
PO Box 5385
Cincinnati, OH 45201

TESTAMERICA LABORATORIES, INC.



Kenneth J. Kuzior
Project Manager
ken.kuzior@testamericainc.com

Approved for release.
Kenneth J. Kuzior
Project Manager
7/14/2010 5:10 PM

July 14, 2010

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica North Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720

Tel (330)497-9396 Fax (330)497-0772 www.testamericainc.com



CASE NARRATIVE

A0G030460

The following report contains the analytical results for eleven water samples and one quality control sample submitted to TestAmerica North Canton by Cinergy from the Miami Fort LL HG 2010 Site, project number 14948701. The samples were received July 03, 2010, according to documented sample acceptance procedures.

TestAmerica utilizes USEPA approved methods in all analytical work. The samples presented in this report were analyzed for the parameter(s) listed on the analytical methods summary page in accordance with the method(s) indicated. A summary of QC data for these analyses is included at the back of the report.

TestAmerica North Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the applicable methods. TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

All parameters were evaluated to the reporting limit.

Please refer to the Quality Control Elements Narrative following this case narrative for additional quality control information.

If you have any questions, please call the Project Manager, Kenneth J. Kuzior, at 330-497-9396.

This report is sequentially paginated. The final page of the report is labeled as "END OF REPORT."

SUPPLEMENTAL QC INFORMATION

SAMPLE RECEIVING

The temperature of the cooler upon sample receipt was 15.2°C.

See TestAmerica's Cooler Receipt Form for additional information.

CASE NARRATIVE (continued)

METALS

The matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate(s) for OUTFALL 002 had recoveries outside acceptance limits. However, since the associated method blank(s) and laboratory control sample(s) were in control, no corrective action was necessary.

QUALITY CONTROL ELEMENTS NARRATIVE

TestAmerica conducts a quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) program designed to provide scientifically valid and legally defensible data. Toward this end, several types of quality control indicators are incorporated into the QA/QC program, which is described in detail in QA Policy, QA-003. These indicators are introduced into the sample testing process to provide a mechanism for the assessment of the analytical data. Program or agency specific requirements take precedence over the requirements listed in this narrative.

QC BATCH

Environmental samples are taken through the testing process in groups called QUALITY CONTROL BATCHES (QC batches). A QC batch contains up to twenty environmental samples of a similar matrix (water, soil) that are processed using the same reagents and standards. TestAmerica North Canton requires that each environmental sample be associated with a QC batch.

Several quality control samples are included in each QC batch and are processed identically to the twenty environmental samples.

For SW846/RCRA methods, QC samples include a METHOD BLANK (MB), a LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) and, where appropriate, a MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD) pair or a MATRIX SPIKE/SAMPLE DUPLICATE (MS/DU) pair. If there is insufficient sample to perform an MS/MSD or an MS/DU, then a LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE (LCSD) is included in the QC batch.

For 600 series/CWA methods, QC samples include a METHOD BLANK (MB), a LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) and, where appropriate, a MATRIX SPIKE (MS). An MS is prepared and analyzed at a 10% frequency for GC Methods and at a 5% frequency for GC/MS methods.

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE

The Laboratory Control Sample is a QC sample that is created by adding known concentrations of a full or partial set of target analytes to a matrix similar to that of the environmental samples in the QC batch. Multi peak responders may not be included in the target spike list due to co-elution. The LCS analyte recovery results are used to monitor the analytical process and provide evidence that the laboratory is performing the method within acceptable guidelines. All control analytes indicated by a bold type in the LCS must meet acceptance criteria. Failure to meet the established recovery guidelines requires the reparation and reanalysis of all samples in the QC batch. Comparison of only the failed parameters from the first batch are evaluated. The only exception to the rework requirement is that if the LCS recoveries are biased high and the associated sample is ND (non-detected) for the parameter(s) of interest, the batch is acceptable.

At times, a Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD) is also included in the QC batch. An LCSD is a QC sample that is created and handled identically to the LCS. Analyte recovery data from the LCSD is assessed in the same way as that of the LCS. The LCSD recoveries, together with the LCS recoveries, are used to determine the reproducibility (precision) of the analytical system. Precision data are expressed as relative percent differences (RPDs). If the RPD fails for an LCS/LCSD and yet the recoveries are within acceptance criteria, the batch is still acceptable.

METHOD BLANK

The Method Blank is a QC sample consisting of all the reagents used in analyzing the environmental samples contained in the QC batch. Method Blank results are used to determine if interference or contamination in the analytical system could lead to the reporting of false positive data or elevated analyte concentrations. All target analytes must be below the reporting limits (RL) or the associated sample(s) must be ND except under the following circumstances:

- Common organic contaminants may be present at concentrations up to 5 times the reporting limits. Common metals contaminants may be present at concentrations up to 2 times the reporting limit, or the reported blank concentration must be twenty fold less than the concentration reported in the associated environmental samples. (See common laboratory contaminants listed in the table.)

<u>Volatile (GC or GC/MS)</u>	<u>Semivolatile (GC/MS)</u>	<u>Metals ICP-MS</u>	<u>Metals ICP Trace</u>
Methylene Chloride, Acetone, 2-Butanone	Phthalate Esters	Copper, Iron, Zinc, Lead, Calcium, Magnesium, Potassium, Sodium, Barium, Chromium, Manganese	Copper, Iron, Zinc, Lead

QUALITY CONTROL ELEMENTS NARRATIVE (continued)

- Organic blanks will be accepted if compounds detected in the blank are present in the associated samples at levels 10 times the blank level. Inorganic blanks will be accepted if elements detected in the blank are present in the associated samples at 20 times the blank level.
- Blanks will be accepted if the compounds/elements detected are not present in any of the associated environmental samples.

Failure to meet these Method Blank criteria requires the reparation and reanalysis of all samples in the QC batch.

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

A Matrix Spike and a Matrix Spike Duplicate are a pair of environmental samples to which known concentrations of a full or partial set of target analytes are added. The MS/MSD results are determined in the same manner as the results of the environmental sample used to prepare the MS/MSD. The analyte recoveries and the relative percent differences (RPDs) of the recoveries are calculated and used to evaluate the effect of the sample matrix on the analytical results. Due to the potential variability of the matrix of each sample, the MS/MSD results may not have an immediate bearing on any samples except the one spiked; therefore, the associated batch MS/MSD may not reflect the same compounds as the samples contained in the analytical report. When these MS/MSD results fail to meet acceptance criteria, the data is evaluated. If the LCS is within acceptance criteria, the batch is considered acceptable.

For certain methods, a Matrix Spike/Sample Duplicate (MS/DU) may be included in the QC batch in place of the MS/MSD. For the parameters (i.e. pH, ignitability) where it is not possible to prepare a spiked sample, a Sample Duplicate may be included in the QC batch. However, a Sample Duplicate is less likely to provide usable precision statistics depending on the likelihood of finding concentrations below the standard reporting limit. When the Sample Duplicate result fails to meet acceptance criteria, the data is evaluated.

For certain methods (600 series methods/CWA), a Matrix Spike is required in place of a Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) or Matrix Spike/Sample Duplicate (MS/DU).

The acceptance criteria do not apply to samples that are diluted.

SURROGATE COMPOUNDS

In addition to these batch-related QC indicators, each organic environmental and QC sample is spiked with surrogate compounds. Surrogates are organic chemicals that behave similarly to the analytes of interest and that are rarely present in the environment. Surrogate recoveries are used to monitor the individual performance of a sample in the analytical system.

If surrogate recoveries are biased high in the LCS, LCSD, or the Method Blank, and the associated sample(s) are ND, the batch is acceptable. Otherwise, if the LCS, LCSD, or Method Blank surrogate(s) fail to meet recovery criteria, the entire sample batch is reprepared and reanalyzed. If the surrogate recoveries are outside criteria for environmental samples, the samples will be reprepared and reanalyzed unless there is objective evidence of matrix interference or if the sample dilution is greater than the threshold outlined in the associated method SOP.

The acceptance criteria do not apply to samples that are diluted. All other surrogate recoveries will be reported.

For the GC/MS BNA methods, the surrogate criterion is that two of the three surrogates for each fraction must meet acceptance criteria. The third surrogate must have a recovery of ten percent or greater.

For the Pesticide and PCB methods, the surrogate criterion is that one of two surrogate compounds must meet acceptance criteria. The second surrogate must have a recovery of 10% or greater.



TestAmerica Certifications and Approvals:

The laboratory is certified for the analytes listed on the documents below. These are available upon request.
California (#01144CA), Connecticut (#PH-0590), Florida (#E87225),
Illinois (#200004), Kansas (#E10336), Minnesota (#39-999-348), New Jersey (#OH001), New York (#10975), Nevada
(#OH-000482008A), OhioVAP (#CL0024), Pennsylvania (#008), West Virginia (#210), Wisconsin (#999518190), NAVY,
ARMY, USDA Soil Permit

N:\QAQC\Customer Service\Narrative - Combined RCRA _CWA 032609.doc

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - Detection Highlights

A0G030460

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>ANALYTICAL METHOD</u>
FI 07/01/10 17:45 002				
Mercury	3.4	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E
601(7) WWT 07/01/10 18:15 003				
Mercury	172000	20000	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E
601(7) WWT 07/01/10 18:20 004				
Mercury	6.7	0.20	ug/L	SW846 7470A
601(7) WWT DUP 07/01/10 18:25 005				
Mercury	4.9	0.20	ug/L	SW846 7470A
608 WWT 07/02/10 07:55 007				
Mercury	95.5	5.0	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E
608 WWT DUP 07/02/10 08:00 008				
Mercury	96.5	5.0	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E
OUTFALL 002 07/02/10 08:35 010				
Mercury	2.4	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E
OUTFALL 002 DUP 07/02/10 08:40 011				
Mercury	2.2	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E

ANALYTICAL METHODS SUMMARY

A0G030460

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>ANALYTICAL METHOD</u>
Mercury in Liquid Waste (Manual Cold-Vapor)	SW846 7470A
Mercury, Low Level Mercury, CVA Fluorescence	CFR136A 1631E

References:

- CFR136A "Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater", 40CFR, Part 136, Appendix A, October 26, 1984 and subsequent revisions.
- SW846 "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 and its updates.

SAMPLE SUMMARY

A0G030460

WO #	SAMPLE#	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	SAMPLED DATE	SAMP TIME
L3R64	001	RI FB	07/01/10	17:40
L3R68	002	FI	07/01/10	17:45
L3R7A	003	601 (7) WWT	07/01/10	18:15
L3R7D	004	601 (7) WWT	07/01/10	18:20
L3R7E	005	601 (7) WWT DUP	07/01/10	18:25
L3R7G	006	608 WWT FB	07/02/10	07:50
L3R7H	007	608 WWT	07/02/10	07:55
L3R7K	008	608 WWT DUP	07/02/10	08:00
L3R7L	009	OUTFALL 002 FB	07/02/10	08:30
L3R7M	010	OUTFALL 002	07/02/10	08:35
L3R7P	011	OUTFALL 002 DUP	07/02/10	08:40
L3R7Q	012	TRIP BLANK	07/02/10	

NOTE (S) :

- The analytical results of the samples listed above are presented on the following pages.
- All calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.
- Results noted as "ND" were not detected at or above the stated limit.
- This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
- Results for the following parameters are never reported on a dry weight basis: color, corrosivity, density, flashpoint, ignitability, layers, odor, paint filter test, pH, porosity pressure, reactivity, redox potential, specific gravity, spot tests, solids, solubility, temperature, viscosity, and weight.

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: RI FB

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-001

Matrix.....: WQ

Date Sampled...: 07/01/10 17:40 Date Received...: 07/03/10

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING</u> <u>LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION-</u> <u>ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK</u> <u>ORDER #</u>
Prep Batch #...: 0189193						
Mercury	ND	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R641AA
		Dilution Factor: 1				

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: FI

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: AOG030460-002

Matrix.....: WG

Date Sampled...: 07/01/10 17:45 Date Received...: 07/03/10

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING</u> <u>LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION-</u> <u>ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK</u> <u>ORDER #</u>
Prep Batch #...: 0189193						
Mercury	3.4	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R681AA
		Dilution Factor: 1				

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: 601(7) WWT

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-003

Matrix.....: WG

Date Sampled...: 07/01/10 18:15 Date Received...: 07/03/10

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING</u> <u>LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION-</u> <u>ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK</u> <u>ORDER #</u>
Prep Batch #...: 0189193						
Mercury	172000	20000	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R7A1AA
		Dilution Factor: 40000				

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: 601(7) WWT

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-004

Matrix.....: WG

Date Sampled...: 07/01/10 18:20 Date Received...: 07/03/10

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING</u> <u>LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION-</u> <u>ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK</u> <u>ORDER #</u>
Prep Batch #...: 0187018						
Mercury	6.7	0.20	ug/L	SW846 7470A	07/06-07/07/10	L3R7D1AA
		Dilution Factor: 1				

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: 601(7) WWT DUP

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-005

Matrix.....: WG

Date Sampled...: 07/01/10 18:25 Date Received...: 07/03/10

PARAMETER	RESULT	REPORTING LIMIT	UNITS	METHOD	PREPARATION- ANALYSIS DATE	WORK ORDER #
Prep Batch #...	0187018					
Mercury	4.9	0.20	ug/L	SW846 7470A	07/06-07/07/10	L3R7E1AA
Dilution Factor: 1						

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT FB

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-006

Matrix.....: WQ

Date Sampled...: 07/02/10 07:50 Date Received...: 07/03/10

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING</u> <u>LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION-</u> <u>ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK</u> <u>ORDER #</u>
Prep Batch #...: 0189193						
Mercury	ND	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R7G1AA
		Dilution Factor: 1				

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-007

Matrix.....: WG

Date Sampled...: 07/02/10 07:55 Date Received...: 07/03/10

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING</u> <u>LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION-</u> <u>ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK</u> <u>ORDER #</u>
Prep Batch #...: 0189193						
Mercury	95.5	5.0	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R7H1AA
		Dilution Factor: 10				

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT DUP

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-008

Matrix.....: WG

Date Sampled...: 07/02/10 08:00 Date Received...: 07/03/10

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING</u> <u>LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION-</u> <u>ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK</u> <u>ORDER #</u>
Prep Batch #...: 0189193						
Mercury	96.5	5.0	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R7K1AA
		Dilution Factor: 10				

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 FB

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-009

Matrix.....: WQ

Date Sampled...: 07/02/10 08:30 Date Received...: 07/03/10

PARAMETER	RESULT	REPORTING LIMIT	UNITS	METHOD	PREPARATION- ANALYSIS DATE	WORK ORDER #
Prep Batch #...	0189193					
Mercury	ND	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R7L1AA
		Dilution Factor: 1				

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-010

Matrix.....: WG

Date Sampled...: 07/02/10 08:35 Date Received...: 07/03/10

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING</u> <u>LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION-</u> <u>ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK</u> <u>ORDER #</u>
Prep Batch #...: 0189193						
Mercury	2.4	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R7M1AA
		Dilution Factor: 1				

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 DUP

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-011

Matrix.....: WG

Date Sampled...: 07/02/10 08:40 Date Received...: 07/03/10

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING</u> <u>LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION-</u> <u>ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK</u> <u>ORDER #</u>
Prep Batch #...: 0189193						
Mercury	2.2	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R7P1AA
		Dilution Factor: 1				

Duke Energy Corporation

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

TOTAL Metals

Lot-Sample #...: A0G030460-012

Matrix.....: WQ

Date Sampled...: 07/02/10

Date Received...: 07/03/10

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>REPORTING</u> <u>LIMIT</u>	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION-</u> <u>ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK</u> <u>ORDER #</u>
Prep Batch #...: 0189193						
Mercury	ND	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R7Q1AA
		Dilution Factor: 1				

QUALITY CONTROL SECTION

METHOD BLANK REPORT

TOTAL Metals

Client Lot #...: A0G030460

Matrix.....: WATER

PARAMETER	RESULT	REPORTING LIMIT	UNITS	METHOD	PREPARATION- ANALYSIS DATE	WORK ORDER #
MB Lot-Sample #: A0G060000-018 Prep Batch #... : 0187018						
Mercury	ND	0.20	ug/L	SW846 7470A	07/06-07/07/10	L3TA61AV
Dilution Factor: 1						

MB Lot-Sample #: A0G080000-193 Prep Batch #... : 0189193						
Mercury	ND	0.50	ng/L	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/09/10	L3W7W1AA
Dilution Factor: 1						

NOTE(S) :

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE EVALUATION REPORT

TOTAL Metals

Client Lot #...: A0G030460

Matrix.....: WATER

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>PERCENT RECOVERY</u>	<u>RECOVERY LIMITS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>PREPARATION- ANALYSIS DATE</u>	<u>WORK ORDER #</u>
------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	---------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------

LCS Lot-Sample#: A0G060000-018	Prep Batch #... 0187018				
Mercury	107	(81 - 123)	SW846 7470A	07/06-07/07/10	L3TA61AL
		Dilution Factor: 1			

LCS Lot-Sample#: A0G080000-193	Prep Batch #... 0189193				
Mercury	97	(77 - 125)	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/09/10	L3W7W1AC
		Dilution Factor: 1			

NOTE(S) :

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE EVALUATION REPORT

TOTAL Metals

Client Lot #...: A0G030460

Matrix.....: WATER

Date Sampled...: 06/30/10 09:30 Date Received...: 07/02/10

PARAMETER	PERCENT RECOVERY	RECOVERY LIMITS	RPD	RPD LIMITS	METHOD	PREPARATION- ANALYSIS DATE	WORK ORDER #
MS Lot-Sample #: A0G020553-001 Prep Batch #...: 0187018							
Mercury	108	(69 - 134)			SW846 7470A	07/06-07/07/10	L3Q721C7
	106	(69 - 134)	1.6	(0-20)	SW846 7470A	07/06-07/07/10	L3Q721C8
Dilution Factor: 1							

NOTE(S) :

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE EVALUATION REPORT

TOTAL Metals

Client Lot #...: AOG030460

Matrix.....: WG

Date Sampled...: 07/02/10 08:35 Date Received...: 07/03/10

PARAMETER	PERCENT RECOVERY	RECOVERY LIMITS	RPD	RPD LIMITS	METHOD	PREPARATION- ANALYSIS DATE	WORK ORDER #
MS Lot-Sample #: AOG030460-010 Prep Batch #...: 0189193							
Mercury	147 N	(71 - 125)			CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R7M1AC
	137 N	(71 - 125)	5.3	(0-24)	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/12/10	L3R7M1AD
Dilution Factor: 5							

NOTE(S) :

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

N Spiked analyte recovery is outside stated control limits.

MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE EVALUATION REPORT

TOTAL Metals

Client Lot #...: AOG030460

Matrix.....: WATER

Date Sampled...: 07/06/10 09:30 Date Received...: 07/08/10

PARAMETER	PERCENT RECOVERY	RECOVERY LIMITS	RPD	RPD LIMITS	METHOD	PREPARATION- ANALYSIS DATE	WORK ORDER #
MS Lot-Sample #: AOG080411-002 Prep Batch #...: 0189193							
Mercury	83	(71 - 125)			CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/09/10	L3WVM1AC
	80	(71 - 125)	2.4	(0-24)	CFR136A 1631E	07/08-07/09/10	L3WVM1AD
			Dilution Factor: 1				

NOTE(S) :

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

Chain of Custody Record

TestAmerica Laboratory location:

NORTH ANTON, OH

Regulatory program:

☐ DV ☐ NPDES ☐ RCRA ☐ Other

TestAmerica
 THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

Client Contact

Company Name:

DUKE ENERGY

Address:

MIAMI FORT STATION

City/State/Zip:

NORTH BEND, OH

Phone:

(513) 651-3440

Email:

Mike.Wagner@duke-energy.com

Method of Shipment/Carrier:

OVERSEAS

Project Name:

MIAMI FORT STATION

Project Number:

14448701

PO #

Sample Identification

Sample Date

Sample Time

Air

Aqueous

Sediment

Solid

Other:

H2SO4

HNO3

HCl

NaOH

ZnAc/NaOH

Unpres

Other:

Filtered Sample (X)

Composite (X)

LL Hg (1631)

Total Hg (7470A)

Analyses

Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:

Client Project Manager:

MIKE WAGNER (UES)

Site Contact:

KATHY PATRICKS

Lab Contact:

COC No:

1 of 2 COCs

Telephone:

(513) 651-3440

Telephone:

(513) 651-3440

Telephone:

(513) 651-3440

Email:

Mike.Wagner@duke-energy.com

Method of Shipment/Carrier:

OVERSEAS

Shipping/Tracking No:

OVERSEAS

Project Name:

MIAMI FORT STATION

Project Number:

14448701

PO #

Sample Identification

Sample Date

Sample Time

Air

Aqueous

Sediment

Solid

Other:

H2SO4

HNO3

HCl

NaOH

ZnAc/NaOH

Unpres

Other:

Filtered Sample (X)

Composite (X)

LL Hg (1631)

Total Hg (7470A)

Analyses

Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:

Sample Identification

7-1-2010

1740

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

Chain of Custody Record

TestAmerica

THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

TestAmerica Laboratory location:

North Canton, OH

Regulatory program:

☐ DW☐ NPDES☐ RCRA☐ Other

Client Contact

Company Name:

DUKE ENERGY

Address:

MIAMI FOREST STATION

City/State/Zip:

NORTH BEND, OH

Phone:

(513) 451-3440

Project Name:

MIAMI FOREST LTH 2010

Project Number:

14948701

PO #

Client Project Manager:

MIKE WAGNER

Telephone:

SEE Pg 1

Email:

SEE Pg 1

Site Contact:

SEE Pg 1

Telephone:

↓

Lab Contact:

Telephone:

COC No:

2 of 2 COCs

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

Analyses

TAT if different from below: Spended☐ 3 weeks☐ 2 weeks☐ 1 week☐ 2 days☐ 1 day

Sample Identification

Sample Date

Sample Time

Air

Aqueous

Sediment

Solid

Other:

H2SO4

HNO3

HCl

NaOH

ZnAc/NaOH

Unpres

Other:

Filtered Sample (Y/N)

Composite (Y/N)

LTH (1631)

Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:

Outfall 002 DUF

7-2-10 0840

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

TRIR BLANK

↓

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

Possible Hazard Identification

☐ Non-Hazard☐ Flammable☐ Skin Irritant☐ Poison B☒ Unknown☐ Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)☐ Return to Client☒ Disposed By Lab☐ Archive For

Months

Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments:

Relinquished by:

Lab 123456

Company:

AS CORP

Date/Time:

7-2-2010 1040

Received by:

Mike Wagner

Company:

Test America

Date/Time:

7-2-10 10:40

Relinquished by:

Lab 123456

Company:

Test America

Date/Time:

7-2-10 12:00

Received in Laboratory by:

Denny Brown

Company:

TA

Date/Time:

7/3/10 8:00

TestAmerica Cooler Receipt Form/Narrative
North Canton Facility

Lot Number: A06030460

Client Duke Energy Project Miami Fort By: Derry Burns
Cooler Received on 7/3/10 Opened on 7/3/10 (Signature)
FedEx ☐ UPS ☐ DHL ☐ FAS ☐ Stetson ☒ Client Drop Off ☐ TestAmerica Courier ☐ Other ☐
TestAmerica Cooler # 428 Multiple Coolers ☐ Foam Box ☐ Client Cooler ☐ Other ☐
1. Were custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? Yes ☒ No ☐ Intact? Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
If YES, Quantity 1 Quantity Unsalvageable _____
Were custody seals on the outside of cooler(s) signed and dated? Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Were custody seals on the bottle(s)? Yes ☐ No ☒
If YES, are there any exceptions? _____
2. Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)? Yes ☐ No ☒
3. Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)? Yes ☒ No ☐ Relinquished by client? Yes ☒ No ☐
4. Were the custody papers signed in the appropriate place? Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Packing material used: Bubble Wrap ☒ Foam ☒ None ☐ Other _____
6. Cooler temperature upon receipt 15.2 °C See back of form for multiple coolers/temps ☐
METHOD: IR ☒ Other ☐
COOLANT: Wet Ice ☐ Blue Ice ☐ Dry Ice ☐ Water ☐ None ☒
7. Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)? Yes ☒ No ☐
8. Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC? Yes ☒ No ☐
9. Were sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? Yes ☐ No ☒ NA ☒ 9.8
10. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Yes ☒ No ☐
11. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Yes ☐ No ☐ NA ☒
12. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? Yes ☒ No ☐
13. Was a trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Yes ☐ No ☒ Were VOAs on the COC? Yes ☐ No ☒
Contacted PM _____ Date _____ by _____ via Verbal ☐ Voice Mail ☐ Other ☐
Concerning _____

14. CHAIN OF CUSTODY

The following discrepancies occurred:

high temp OK - metals & LCHg

15. SAMPLE CONDITION

Sample(s) _____ were received after the recommended holding time had expired.
Sample(s) _____ were received in a broken container.
Sample(s) _____ were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)

16. SAMPLE PRESERVATION

Sample(s) 601(7) WWT + 601(2) WWT Dup (1x250ml each) were further preserved in Sample Receiving to meet recommended pH level(s). Nitric Acid Lot# 051010-HNO₃, Sulfuric Acid Lot# 121709-H₂SO₄, Sodium Hydroxide Lot# 100108 -NaOH, Hydrochloric Acid Lot# 092006-HCl, Sodium Hydroxide and Zinc Acetate Lot# 100108-(CH₃COO)₂ZN/NaOH. What time was preservative added to sample(s)? 7/3/10 @ 930

Client ID	pH	Date	Initials
601(7) WWT	6.2	7/3/10	TB
601(7) WWT Dup	6.2	↓	↓

North Canton Facility

[illegible]

Discrepancies Cont'd:

[illegible]

END OF REPORT